

Allow me some more comments on the "Glaciergate"

1. Dr R Pachauri IPCC Chair, in early December 2009 blasted India's Environmental Minister Mr Jairam Ramesh in a public commentary reported in Times of India " Mr Ramesh is being irresponsible for not heeding the IPCC 'warning' about Himalayan glaciers melting away by 2035" Mr Ramesh retorted that "this IPCC conclusion is NOT based on any iota of scientific evidence" It now turns out that Ramesh was right and Pachauri was Wrong! It is quite possible that Pachauri, in early December 2009, knew about the IPCC conclusion on Himalayan glaciers being wrong, but still chose to put heat on India for purely political reasons.

2. I reviewed the IPCC WGII Chapter 1, the largest and most important chapter of WGII in two stages FOD Nov 2005 and SOD July 2006. Chapter 1 makes a reference to glacier shrinkage etc in general and refers to Mt. Kilimanjaro "depleting rapidly'. That chapter completely ignores Georg Kaser's excellent paper on Mt Kilimanjaro from Int'l J of Climatology 2004, which was readily available to IPCC authors. I pointed this out in my reviews, but IPCC authors made NO attempt to include Kaser's reference nor many other omissions which I pointed out.

3. IPCC review process is flawed and it is time to emphasize that. IPCC 2007 Documents CANNOT be considered as peer-reviewed Documents. IPCC 2007 Documents should be treated as many other reports coming out of so many Universities and research organizations and NOTHING more!

4. The scientific community at large has given undue importance to IPCC Documents.

Madhav Khandekar  
IPCC Reviewer 2007 Climate Change